

# Should Violence Be Banned from Television?

There are two sides to the argument of whether violence on TV should be banned or not. Some people think that it sets a bad example for people and should be banned. Others think that watching it on TV does not affect behaviour and therefore, people should be allowed to make their own decisions about what it is they watch.

The first reason in favour of banning violence on TV is that watching a lot of it may make children think that behaving this way is acceptable. As a result of such shows on TV, they may copy this behaviour when they play with other children.

Secondly, lots of people who are in violent shows on TV are actors who children admire. They see these actors as role models and as a consequence may behave like the characters played by the actors and grow up to be violent, abusive people.

Finally, if children watch violent sports such as boxing or wrestling on TV, they might want to become boxers and wrestlers when they grow up. If they take up a violent career, this may lead to injuries and brain damage.

On the other hand, there are some arguments in favour of allowing violence on TV to be shown. The first reason is that violence is a part of many sports. Football games, boxing and wrestling can be violent, but they are fun and entertaining to watch. Banning violence on TV would result in banning these sports on TV. This would cause many fans to be disappointed.

The second reason is that if people watch these kinds of movies and shows, this might satisfy their need for action and excitement and get rid of their frustrations. Therefore, watching violence may stop them from behaving violently in their everyday lives.

After looking at both sides of the issue, my own personal opinion is that violence on TV should not be banned. However, parents should supervise what their children watch and not allow them to watch too much.

